



OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ENGINEER  
**DR. MILTON RAHMAN, P.E., PMP, CFM, ENV SP**

**BOND PROJECT AREAS AND QUALIFYING CRITERIA**

The description below includes qualifying criteria and additional prioritization criteria under each bond project area.

**PROPOSITION B - TRANSPORTATION**

**Road Rehabilitation**

- Up to \$100 million would be allocated to roadway maintenance and rehabilitation.
- **Qualifying Criteria:** Pavement Condition Index between 40 to 70.

**Neighborhood Drainage**

- Up to \$200 million would be allocated to improve street drainage.
- **Qualifying Criteria:** Projects within 1st (7.51-10) and 2nd quartiles (5.1-7.5) of Community Flood Resilience Task Force (CFRTF) Prioritization Framework scores

**Multimodal Transportation**

- Up to \$50 million would be invested in multimodal transportation.
- **Qualifying Criteria:**
  - Multimodal transportation facilities and amenities (sidewalk bike lane, shared use path, transit shelter, bike rack, etc.) within half a mile of a transit stop, school, park, senior living or major community destination, or
  - Fill a gap to the above destinations, or
  - Areas of pedestrian and bicycle fatalities and serious injuries
- **Additional Consideration:**
  - Areas with Social Vulnerability Index of 0.5 or above

**Vision Zero (Roadway Safety)**

- Up to \$50 million would be invested in Vision Zero.
- **Qualifying Criteria:** Included in the court-adopted Vision Zero Action Plan Addendum as top 20% or 33 corridors in the High Injury Network
- **Additional Consideration:** Areas with Social Vulnerability Index of 0.5 or above



**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ENGINEER**  
**DR. MILTON RAHMAN, P.E., PMP, CFM, ENV SP**

**Partnership Opportunities**

- Approximately \$200 million would be allocated to partnership projects that include external funding sources.
- **Qualifying Criteria:** Projects with a minimum score of 70 based on Partnership Criteria (Table 1)

*Table 1 - Partnership Project Prioritization Criteria*

<b>Partnership Project Prioritization Criteria</b>	<b>Points</b>
A. Project Description	0
B. Funding Commitment and Agency Priority	12
C. Master Plan Element	8
D. Flooding Threat/Safety/ Traffic Improvements	15
E. Level of Protection/Level of Service/ Connectivity	10
F. Project Area	25
G. Ancillary Benefits	12
H. Level of Partner Participation	12
I. Operations and Maintenance Costs to Harris County	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>

\*Details on scoring criteria can be found on attachment A.

**General Road Bond**

- Up to \$300 million would be allocated to general road bonds.
- **Qualifying Criteria:** Flexible for any project where Proposition B bond fund can be utilized
- **Additional Consideration:**
  - Roadway Condition PCI Below 40
  - Level Service E or F
  - Any other criteria included in other Proposition B bond subcategories

**PROPOSITION C - PARKS AND TRAILS**

- Up to \$200 million in investments may include new construction and/or maintenance of parks facilities and trails, including floodable parks, trail projects, and inclusive parks for people with disabilities.
- **Qualifying Criteria:** Flexible for any eligible project where park bond funds are allowed to be used.
- **Additional Consideration:**
  - Investment for ADA compliance within any park facilities.



**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ENGINEER**  
**DR. MILTON RAHMAN, P.E., PMP, CFM, ENV SP**

**Attachment A: Partnership Project Prioritization Criteria**

- Approximately \$200 million would be allocated to partnership projects that include external funding sources.
- **Qualifying Criteria:** Projects with a minimum score of 70 based on Partnership Criteria (Table 1)

*Table 1 - Partnership Project Prioritization Criteria*

<b>Partnership Project Prioritization Criteria</b>	<b>Points</b>
<p>A. Project Narrative, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project Summary</li> <li>• Location Map</li> <li>• Project goals, problems addressed and anticipated features</li> <li>• Relationship to other ongoing or completed projects</li> </ul>	0
<p>B. Project Readiness and Available Funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A review of the project's readiness for implementation (i.e., if a construction project is design complete?)</li> <li>• Review of project management information, funding, schedule, milestone and deliverables.</li> <li>• MOUs or agreements in place or tentatively agreed upon</li> <li>• If project is included in an adopted public agency CIP or Strategic Plan, the applicable plan section should be included in the application.</li> </ul>	12
<p>C. Consistent with Prior or Current Planning Efforts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Points will be awarded for projects that are included in an adopted or ongoing transportation or drainage master plan. Copies of the applicable plan sections relating to the project should be included with the application.</li> </ul>	8
<p>D. Infrastructure Resiliency, Vision Zero or Traffic Safety, and Traffic Operations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Awarded to projects that are intended to address existing areas of identified flood hazards, roadway congestion, locations on the high injury network and traffic mitigation and mobility needs.</li> </ul>	15



**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ENGINEER**  
**DR. MILTON RAHMAN, P.E., PMP, CFM, ENV SP**

<p>E. Flood Protection, Mobility Level of Service, and Multimodal Connectivity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The extent to which the project provides flood return frequency protection. Information regarding the design and anticipated levels of protection should be included.</li> <li>• Street and mobility projects coordinating land use, including complete street principles and increasing connectivity will receive priority scoring.</li> </ul>	10
<p>F. Infrastructure Needs and Project Benefits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Current population and employment and projected growth</li> <li>• Age and condition of infrastructure</li> <li>• Road pavement condition</li> <li>• Level of service</li> <li>• Storm water drainage condition</li> <li>• Bicycle-pedestrian facilities</li> <li>• Previous flooding frequency and damage</li> <li>• Benefits of project to improve above conditions based on type of project</li> <li>• Benefits to environmental justice populations</li> </ul>	25
<p>G. Environmental, Economic, and Quality of Life Benefits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Impacts on air quality, water quality, vegetation, and natural resources</li> <li>• Impacts on economic development, job creation and business development</li> <li>• Impacts on quality of life, walkability, bicycle improvements, reduced congestion, reduced flooding, open space</li> <li>• Enhancement of cultural historic resources, signage, and neighborhood enhancements</li> <li>• Benefits to environmental justice populations</li> </ul>	12
<p>H. Stakeholder Partnerships and Contributions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cost share and match</li> <li>• Leveraged investments</li> <li>• Commitment letters</li> <li>• MOUs or agreements in place</li> <li>• Previous coordination with stakeholders</li> </ul>	12



**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ENGINEER**  
**DR. MILTON RAHMAN, P.E., PMP, CFM, ENV SP**

I. Project Life Cycle Costs	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Long Term Costs</li><li>• Operations and Maintenance</li></ul>	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>